**Review title**

#Insert review title#: A scoping review protocol

**Authors**

Primary reviewer name\*1

Secondary reviewer name2

1 Primary reviewer affiliation

2 Secondary reviewer affiliation

\***Corresponding author**:

#Name#

Email address: #email of corresponding author#

**Background**

#Background text, approximately 1000 words#

**Objective and Review question/s**

The objective of this scoping review is #insert objective/s#

The question/s of this review is/what #insert questions#

#Add additional questions as required#

**Keywords**

#List five keywords in alphabetical order; separated with semi colon and space #

**Methods**

**Inclusion criteria**

**Participants**

This scoping review will consider studies that include #describe participants#

**Concept**

The concept/s examined by this scoping review is #insert text#

**Context**

This scoping review will consider studies that have been conducted in #describe context/s#

**Study types**

#modify and delete text as appropriate# This scoping review will consider both experimental and quasi-experimental study designs including randomized controlled trials, non-randomized controlled trials, before and after studies and interrupted time-series studies. In addition, analytical observational studies including prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control studies and analytical cross-sectional studies will be considered for inclusion. This review will also consider descriptive observational study designs including case series, individual case reports and descriptive cross-sectional studies for inclusion.

#modify and delete text as appropriate# Qualitative studies will also be considered that focus on qualitative data including, but not limited to, designs such as phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, qualitative description, action research and feminist research.

#modify and delete text as appropriate# Text and opinion papers will also be considered for inclusion in this scoping review.

Studies published in #insert language(s)# will be included. Studies published since #insert date# will be included as #justify date range#

**Search strategy**

The search strategy will aim to find both published and unpublished studies. An initial limited search of MEDLINE and CINAHL #change as appropriate# has been undertaken to identify articles on this topic, followed by analysis of the text words contained in the titles and abstracts, and of the index terms used to describe these articles. This informed the development of a search strategy including identified keywords and index terms which will be tailored for each information source. A full search strategy for #name the relevant database# is detailed in Appendix 1. The reference list of all included studies will be screened for additional studies.

The databases to be searched include:

#insert text#

The search for unpublished studies will include:

#insert text#

**Data extraction**

#modify as appropriate# Data will be extracted from papers included in the scoping review using the draft data extraction tool listed in Appendix II by two independent reviewers. The data extracted will include specific details about the populations, concept, context, and study methods of significance to the scoping review question and specific objectives. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. Authors of papers will be contacted to request missing or additional data where required. The draft data extraction tool will be modified and revised as necessary during the process of extracting data from each included study. Modifications will be detailed in the full scoping review report.

**Data mapping**

#modify as appropriate# The extracted data will be presented in diagrammatic or tabular form in a manner that aligns to the objective/s and scope of this scoping review. The #insert text# tables and charts will report on: #modify as appropriate# distribution of studies by year or period of publication, countries of origin, area of practice (clinical, policy, educational etc.), and research methods. A narrative summary will accompany the tabulated and/or charted results and will describe how the results relate to the reviews objective and question/s.
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