Bilag 4

Ekstraktion af data og kvalitetsvurdering af inkluderede studier

#### PICO 1

Forfatter år	Population	Intervention	Control	Outcomes	Experime ntal – data	Control – data
Abderhal den C et al, 2008	The majority of patients had an acute psychiatric disorder (b) patients	The interventio n comprised a standardise	No systemat ic assessm ent of risk	Aggression incidents (aggressive episode) Aggressive	38/390 Not	51/364 Not
	were admitted directly onto the ward (c) patients usually stayed less	d risk assessment following admission with mandatory evaluation	behavio ur. assessm ent of	Patients treated with seclusion (Patienter der behandles med isolering)	Not reported	Not reported
	than 3 months on the ward (d) patients were older than 18	of prevention in highrisk patients. Assessment for every		Coercion (Patienter der behandles med tvangsofranstaltni nger)	146/364 Not	135/390 Not
	years and younger than 65 years (e) the ward admitted all potential	new patient during the first 3 days of hospitalisati on.		Restrain (Patienter der bæltefikseres)	reported	reported
	patients and was not specialised for the treatment of specific					
	disorders (e.g. depression, addiction).					

	Inkluderede					
	i alt 2.573					
van de Sande R,	patients All patients admitted	Patients were	No systemat	Aggression incidents	52/207	49/80
el al2011	during the study period (n =	monitored daily by psychiatric	ic assessm ent of	(aggressive episode)		
	597) were included in the trial. The	nurses on the	risk behavio ur.	Aggressive patients	29/207	13/80
	average length of stay in the wards was approximate	experiment al wards by means of risk assessment scales, from	assessm ent of	Patients treated with seclusion (Patienter der behandles med isolering)	60/207	28/80
	ly 3 weeks, mostly involuntarily (62%). Most patients	the first day of admission until discharge		Coercion (Patienter der behandles med tvangsofranstaltni nger)	Not reported	Not reported
	were	or transfer		D (	NI 4	Not
	diagnosed with a psychotic disorder (58%).	to another ward.		Restrain (Patienter der bæltefikseres	Not reported	reported
Hvidhjelm J et al, 2016	All patients admitted during the study period were included	All aggressive or violent incidents were	All aggressiv e or violent incidents	Aggression incidents (aggressive episode)	663/519	996/571
	in the intervention partstudy (n	recorded during the project	were recorded during	Aggressive patients	151/519	192/571
	= 1.090). Wards that had a risk of aggression of less than 2% (low	phases. follow-up data were collected over three months,	the project phase. The control wards	Patients treated with seclusion (Patienter der behandles med isolering)	Not reported	Not reported
	aggression wards) were allocated to the control group, the six wards with a	during which the intervention wards continued to use the BVC,	continue d "care as usual care". Not	Coercion (Patienter der behandles med tvangsofranstaltni nger)	Not reported	Not reported

Blair EW	risk between 2% to 7% (medium aggression wards) were randomized to either the control or intervention group, and the five wards with a risk of aggression of greater than 7% (high aggression wards) were assigned to the intervention group.  The study	on each patients during the admission 3 times a day.	using BVC.	Restrain (Patienter der bæltefikseres  Aggression	Not reported  Not	Not reported  Not
et al, 2017	sample consisted of consecutive admissions to a 120-bed psychiatric service. Age 12-65 years. Baseline data (e.g., the number and duration of selcusion/rest rain events and demographic data) were from all consecutive admissions during the year prior to introduction of the intervention (October 2008—	incorporated into the required daily documentati on and was completed by a physician on admission and by nursing staff during each of the three nursing shifts throughout the hospitalizati on.	systemat ic recordin g or assessm ent of risk behavio ur.	incidents (aggressive episode)  Aggressive patients  Patients treated with seclusion (Patienter der behandles med isolering)  Coercion (Patienter der behandles med tvangsofranstaltni nger)  Restrain (Patienter der bæltefikseres	Not reported  213/8.029  Not reported  412/8.029	Not reported 358/3.884  Not reported 215/3.885

Needham	September 2009, n = 3884). The study sample consisted of all consecutive admissions after the intervention was fully implemented (October 2010– September 2012, n = 8029). During the	The risk	No	Aggression	Incidence	Incidence
I et al, 2004	10-month study period, 576 patients (mean age 38 years, range 15–88 years) accounted for 721	prediction was conducted using an extended version of the Brøset Violence	systemat ic risk reductio n.	incidents (aggressive episode)	rates per 100 hospitalizat ion days (95% CI) 3,7 (2,99- 4,44)	rates per 100 hospitalizat ion days (95% CI) 2,3 (1,72- 2,90)
	admissions to two acute psychiatric wards.	Checklist (BVC). All patients are		Aggressive patients	Not reported	Not reported
	war as.	consecutivel y assessed at admission and twice daily for the three		Patients treated with seclusion (Patienter der behandles med isolering)	Not reported	Not reported
		following days.		Coercion (Patienter der behandles med tvangsofranstaltni nger)	4,0 (3,28- 4,79)	2,3 (1,72- 2,90)
				Restrain (Patienter der bæltefikseres	Not reported	Not reported

Abderhalden C, Needham I, Dassen T, Halfens R, Haug HJ, Fischer JE. Structured risk assessment and violence in acute psychiatric wards: randomised controlled trial. Br J Psychiatry. 2008;193(1):44-50.

van de Sande R, Nijman HL, Noorthoorn EO, Wierdsma AI, Hellendoorn E, van der Staak C, et al. Aggression and seclusion on acute psychiatric wards: effect of short-term risk assessment. Br J Psychiatry. 2011;199(6):473-8.

Hvidhjelm J, Sestoft D, Skovgaard LT, Rasmussen K, Almvik R, Bue Bjorner J. Aggression in Psychiatric Wards: Effect of the Use of a Structured Risk Assessment. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2016;37(12):960-7.

Blair EW, Woolley S, Szarek BL, Mucha TF, Dutka O, Schwartz HI, et al. Reduction of Seclusion and Restraint in an Inpatient Psychiatric Setting: A Pilot Study. Psychiatr Q. 2017;88(1):1-7.

Needham I, Abderhalden C, Meer R, Dassen T, Haug H J, Halfens RJG, Fischer JE. The effectiveness of two interventions in the management of patient violence in acute mental inpatient settings: report on a pilot study Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing . 2004;11:595–601

Study: PICO 1: Article: Abderhalden C, Needham I, Dassen T, Halfens R, Haug HJ, Fischer JE. Structured risk assessment and violence in acute psychiatric wards: randomised controlled trial. Br J Psychiatry. 2008;193(1):44-50.

Fælles vurdering	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	х			
Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	X			
Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	х			
Was there a control group?	х			
Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	х			
Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	X			
Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	х			
Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	х			
Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	х			

Study: PICO 1: van de Sande R, Nijman HL, Noorthoorn EO, Wierdsma AI, Hellendoorn E, van der Staak C, et al. Aggression and seclusion on acute psychiatric wards: effect of short-term risk assessment. Br J Psychiatry. 2011;199(6):473-8.

Fælles vurdering	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	х			
Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	x			
Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	х			
Was there a control group?	x			
Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	x			
Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	X			
Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	х			
Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	х			
Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	х			

Study: PICO 1 Hvidhjelm J, Sestoft D, Skovgaard LT, Rasmussen K, Almvik R, Bue Bjorner J. Aggression in Psychiatric Wards: Effect of the Use of a Structured Risk Assessment. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2016;37(12):960-7.

Fælles vurdering	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	х			
Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	x			
Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	х			
Was there a control group?	х			
Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	х			
Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	X			
Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	х			
Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	х			
Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	х			

Study: PICO 1 Blair EW, Woolley S, Szarek BL, Mucha TF, Dutka O, Schwartz HI, et al. Reduction of Seclusion and Restraint in an Inpatient Psychiatric Setting: A Pilot Study. Psychiatr Q. 2017;88(1):1-7.

Fælles vurdering	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	х			
Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?			x	
Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	х			
Was there a control group?	х			
Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	х			
Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	X			
Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	х			
Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	х			
Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	x			

Study: PICO 1 Needham I, Abderhalden C, Meer R, Dassen T, Haug H J, Halfens RJG, Fischer JE. The effectiveness of two interventions in the management of patient violence in acute mental inpatient settings: report on a pilot study Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing . 2004;11:595–601

Fælles vurdering	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	x			
Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?			x	
Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	х			
Was there a control group?	x			
Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	x			
Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	X			
Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	х			
Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	х			
Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	x			